

TOPIC

How Long, and Long-lasting, are Filaments?

PROBLEM 1

On what scale(s) does filamentary structure matter, and **when**, in the end-game of star-formation?

PHD 1

For synthetic observations best “matching” molecular line & dust data, determine from whence and when bulk of mass gets to forming cores & stars.

Particular focus on **timing**.

with: Klessen, Glover+; Smith, Fuller+; Caselli, Pineda+; Alves, Lombardi, Hacar, Tafalla+

related to work of 5th-year Harvard grad student H. Chen

EXPERTISE

Profs. A. Goodman, D. Finkbeiner, et al. @ CfA
(obs-sim comparison, stats, viz, software, \vec{B})

PROBLEM 2

What constraints do “**bones**” offer on:
-the shape of the Galaxy, especially in the vertical direction?
-galaxy evolution models on “short” timescales


PHD 2

Using synthetic observations of models of dense gas & dust structures in MW-like galaxies, measure **statistics** & compare with observations to facilitate estimates re:observed shape, and evolution of “bones.”

*with: Smith, Fuller+; Burkert+; Molinari+; Menten
1st-year Harvard grad student C. Zucker working on this!*

FOR ANDI: ARE THESE PROBLEMS CONNECTED?

Dimensions: 5155. AU With Radiative Feedback Time: 59225. yr



Matthew Bate
2009

PROBLEM 1


On what scale(s) does filamentary structure matter, and *when*, in the end-game of star-formation?

PHD 1

For synthetic observations best "matching" molecular line & dust data, determine from whence and when bulk of mass gets to forming cores & stars.

Particular focus on *timing*.

with: Klessen, Glover+; Smith, Fuller+; Caselli, Pineda+; Alves, Lombardi, Hacar, Tafalla+ related to work of 5th-year Harvard grad student H. Chen



Rowan Smith et al.
2014

PROBLEM 2


What constraints do "bones" offer on:
-the shape of the Galaxy, especially in the vertical direction?
-galaxy evolution models on "short" timescales

PHD 2

Using synthetic observations of models of dense gas & dust structures in MW-like galaxies, measure *statistics* & compare with observations to facilitate estimates re:observed shape, and evolution of "bones."

with: Smith, Fuller+; Burkert+; Molinari+; Menten 1st-year Harvard grad student C. Zucker working on this!

Dense Gas




e.g. from "Skeleton of the Milky Way" paper

2.3. Establishing "Bone" Criteria

After narrowing down our list to 10 filaments with kinematic structure consistent with existing spiral arm models, we develop a set of criteria for an object to be called a "bone":

1. Largely continuous mid-infrared extinction feature
2. Parallel to the Galactic plane, to within 30°
3. Within 20 pc of the physical Galactic mid-plane, assuming a flat galaxy
4. Within 10 km s^{-1} of the global-log spiral fit to any Milky Way arm
5. No abrupt shifts in velocity (of more than 3 km s^{-1} per 10 pc) within extinction feature
6. Projected aspect ratio $\geq 50:1$.






Figure 7 The four stages of star formation. (a) Cores form within molecular clouds as magnetic and turbulent support is lost through ambipolar diffusion. (b) A protostar with a surrounding nebular disk forms at the center of a cloud core collapsing from inside-out. (c) A stellar wind breaks out along the rotational axis of the system, creating a bipolar flow. (d) The infall terminates, revealing a newly formed star with a circumstellar disk.

COHERENCE IN DENSE CORES. II. THE TRANSITION TO COHERENCE

ALYSSA A. GOODMAN¹

Harvard University Department of Astronomy, Cambridge, MA 02138; agoodman@cfa.harvard.edu

JOSEPH A. BARRANCO

Astronomy Department, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720; barranco@ucbast.berkeley.edu

DAVID J. WILNER

Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138; dwilner@cfa.harvard.edu

AND

MARK H. HEYER

Five College Radio Astronomy Observatory, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003; heyer@fcrao1.phast.umass.edu

Received 1997 June 17; accepted 1998 February 5

ABSTRACT

After studying how line width depends on spatial scale in low-mass star-forming regions, we propose that “dense cores” (Myers & Benson 1983) represent an inner scale of a self-similar process that characterizes larger scale molecular clouds.





FIG. 10.—An illustration of the transition to coherence. Color and shading schematically represent velocity and density in this figure. On large scales, material (labeled chaff) is distributed in a self-similar fashion, and its filling factor is low. On scales smaller than some fiducial radius, the filling factor of gas increases substantially, and a coherent dense core, which is not self-similar, is formed. Due to limitations in the authors' drawing ability, the figure emphasizes a particular size scale in the chaff, which should actually exhibit self-similar structure on all scales ranging from the size of an entire molecular cloud complex down to a coherent core.



WHAT IF FILAMENTS CONTINUE ACROSS "CORE" BOUNDARIES?!

blue =VLA ammonia (high-density gas); green=GBT ammonia (lower-res high-density gas); red=Herschel 250 micron continuum (dust)





1998




2008

Match Quality

good
bad

“S11”
no g, yes B, yes chemistry/uv



“O1”
yes g, no B, no chemistry








Table 2. Summary of each simulation

	S11	O1
Box Size	20 pc	25 pc
Simulation Code	Zeus-MP	ORION
Gridding	256 ³	256 ³ + 4 levels of AMR refinement
Driven Turbulence?	Yes	Yes
Driving Power Spectrum	Uniform $1 < k < 2$	Uniform $1 < k < 2$
Gravity?	No	Yes
B field?	5.85 uG	0
Gas Temperature	Variable (10-200K)	15K
Chemistry	H, O, C	None
Background UV	$2.7e-3 \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$	No
Constant CO Abundance	No	$1.75 e-4$
¹² CO/ ¹³ CO abundance	70	70
Radiative Transfer Code	RADMC 3D	RADMC 3D
Microturbulence	0.2 km s^{-1}	0.2 km s^{-1}
Metallicity	Solar	N/A
Mean number density (nH)	100 cm^{-3}	58 cm^{-3}
Mach Number	~ 6	22
Isothermal?	No	Yes
Output time(s)	5.7 Myr	2.5 Myr
Mass in stars	N/A	722 Msun (2.4%)

Simulations "Now" (but we need some lines...)

THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL, 807:67 (6pp), 2015 July 1

MOECKEL & BURKERT








Figure 2. Filaments from the snapshot at 1.27 Myr, shown in their native coordinate system, along with line-of-sight velocity observations along the L dimension. These density-weighted line-of-sight velocities are summed along the W dimension, i.e., they are the L - v plane of the L - W - v position-position-velocity cube.

Simulations "Now" (with some lines...)

Filaments in Filaments



Synthetic observation of $C^{18}O$ emission from our time-dependent chemical model post-processed with radmc-3d

Observed $C^{18}O$ emission in blue.

slide courtesy of Rowan Smith, from CfA-ITC talk, March 31, 2016



GLUE DEMO

Data Collection

- 4.9 ≤ PRIMARY < 5.6
- 5.6 ≤ PRIMARY < 6.3
- 6.3 ≤ PRIMARY < 7.0
- 12

12 (test)

Link Data

Plot Layers - 3D Volume Rendering

- 12 (combined_all_b5_13co_21_nc)
- 12 (combined_all_b5_c18o_21_nc)
- combined_all_b5_hcn_10_noise_!

Attribute: PRIMARY

Min: 0 Max: 5.004

Color: [white]

Alpha: [slider]

Subset: Data Outline

Plot Options - 3D Volume Rendering

x axis

min/max: -0.5 ⇌ 105.5

stretch: [slider] 0.46

y axis

min/max: -0.5 ⇌ 245.5

stretch: [slider] 1.0

z axis

min/max: 170 ⇌ 220

stretch: [slider] 0.39

Coordinate axes

Reset View





Figure 2. Filaments from the snapshot at 1.27 Myr, shown in their native coordinate system, along with line-of-sight velocity observations along the z direction. These density-weighted line-of-sight velocities are averaged along the y dimension, i.e., they are for the z-v plane of the z-v plane position-velocity cube.

TOPIC

How Long, and Long-lasting, are Filaments?

PROBLEM 1

On what scale(s) does filamentary structure matter, and **when**, in the end-game of star-formation?

PHD 1

For synthetic observations best “matching” molecular line & dust data, determine from whence and when bulk of mass gets to forming cores & stars.

Particular focus on **timing**.

with: Klessen, Glover+; Smith, Fuller+; Caselli, Pineda+; Alves, Lombardi, Hacar, Tafalla+

related to work of 5th-year Harvard grad student H. Chen

EXPERTISE

Profs. A. Goodman, D. Finkbeiner, et al. @ CfA
(obs-sim comparison, stats, viz, software, \vec{B})

PROBLEM 2

What constraints do “**bones**” offer on:
-the shape of the Galaxy, especially in the vertical direction?
-galaxy evolution models on “short” timescales

PHD 2

Using synthetic observations of models of dense gas & dust structures in MW-like galaxies, measure **statistics** & compare with observations to facilitate estimates re:observed shape, and evolution of “bones.”

*with: Smith, Fuller+; Burkert+; Molinari+; Menten
1st-year Harvard grad student C. Zucker working on this!*

PROBLEM 2

What constraints do “bones” offer on:
-the shape of the Galaxy, especially in the vertical direction?
-galaxy evolution models on “short” timescales


PHD 2

Using synthetic observations of models of dense gas & dust structures in MW-like galaxies, measure **statistics** & compare with observations to facilitate estimates re:observed shape, and evolution of “bones.”
with: Smith, Fuller+; Burkert+; Molinari+; Menten
1st-year Harvard grad student C. Zucker working on this!


2012: *Andi Burkert asked a question:*
Is Nessie “parallel to the Galactic Plane”?


2016: Yes. And, it has friends, and they’re very useful.

In the plane, and at distance of spiral arm!



$[Z_0=25.0 \text{ pc}, R_0=8.5 \text{ kpc}, \Theta_0=220 \text{ km/s}]$





...eerily precisely...




Figure 1. Results of performing a slice extraction along the filamentary extinction feature of our strongest bone candidate, filament 5. The top panel shows a *Spitzer*-GLIMPSE 8 μm image of filament 5, and the red trace indicates the curve (coincident with the extinction feature) along which a *p-v* slice was extracted. The bottom panel shows the *p-v* slice, with the red boxed region indicating the emission corresponding to filament 5.






Figure 2. Position-velocity summary of bone candidates and spiral arm models. Blue background shows ^{12}CO emission from Dame et al. (2001), integrated between $-1^\circ < b < 1^\circ$. Black dots show measurements of BGPS-, HOPS-, MALT90-, and GRS-determined velocities, with particular candidate filaments identified by number (see Table 1 for further identification), or, in the case of Nessie, by name. Lines of varying color show predicted *p-v* spiral arm traces from the literature (see text for references).

2014 Simulation



Smith et al. 2014, using AREPO

2014 Simulation



Smith et al. 2014, using AREPO (hydro+chemistry, imposed potential, no B-fields, no local (self-)gravity, no feedback)

Great, but we need synthetic line maps

**(Rowan & Ralf both promised
this in person,
in the past 4 days!)**

VELOCITY SLICES ON FIDUCIAL "DIMENSIONS"




Data Collection

Data

- paws_correct

Subsets

Link Data



Plot Layers - Image Widget

- paws_correct

Plot Options - Image Widget

Data: paws_correct

Monochrome RGB

Attribute: PRIMARY

Right Ascension: x

Declination: y

Veloc: slice


54

slice

video courtesy of Chris Beaumont, lead glue developer 2012-14




SOFTWARE: GLUE+WWT TOGETHER



Video courtesy of Chris Beaumont

Filament models: truncated Plummer spheroid (TPS) matches observed N -maps and N -pdfs better than Plummer cylinder, and has similar mean N -profile


from Phil Myers






TPS and TPC models: $p = 2$, $r_0 = 0.035$ pc, $x_{\text{max}} = 0.175$ pc, $z_{\text{max}} = 2.1$ pc, $M/L = 12.1 M_{\text{Sun}}/\text{pc}$. N -contours: 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8 10^{21} cm^{-2} .
 TPS model: $n_0 = 6.0 \cdot 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $N_{\text{max}} = 18 \cdot 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, $a_{\text{in}} = 1$, $a_{\text{out}} = 12$. TPC model: $n_0 = 1.7 \cdot 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $N_{\text{max}} = 5.0 \cdot 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$.

HI shows huge filaments/combings, aligned with B...

LAB



GALFA-HI



Clark, Peek &
Putnam 2014

Figure 10. Riegel–Crutchter cloud (Section 6) in H I absorption (left) and RHT backprojection (right). Overlaid pseudovectors represent polarization angle measurements from the Heiles (2000) compilation. In the left panel, the intensity scale is linear from -20 K (white) to -120 K (black).

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

TOPIC

How Long, and Long-lasting, are Filaments?

PROBLEM 1

On what scale(s) does filamentary structure matter, and **when**, in the end-game of star-formation?

PHD 1

For synthetic observations best “matching” molecular line & dust data, determine from whence and when bulk of mass gets to forming cores & stars.

Particular focus on **timing**.

with: Klessen, Glover+; Smith, Fuller+; Caselli, Pineda+; Alves, Lombardi, Hacar, Tafalla+

related to work of 5th-year Harvard grad student H. Chen

EXPERTISE

Profs. A. Goodman, D. Finkbeiner, et al. @ CfA
(obs-sim comparison, stats, viz, software, \vec{B})


PROBLEM 2

What constraints do “**bones**” offer on:
-the shape of the Galaxy, especially in the vertical direction?
-galaxy evolution models on “short” timescales

PHD 2

Using synthetic observations of models of dense gas & dust structures in MW-like galaxies, measure **statistics** & compare with observations to facilitate estimates re:observed shape, and evolution of “bones.”

*with: Smith, Fuller+; Burkert+; Molinari+; Menten
1st-year Harvard grad student C. Zucker working on this!*




Now, we are trying *FIVE*, from Hacar et al. 2013, and other clustering algorithms, to study “coherent” core-filament relation.

Filaments offer pre-existing density enhancement.


Collapse is rapid enough that aboriginal filament is not erased, even within a “coherent core.”

In B5, small bound cluster will form c. 40K years from now.




HOT OFF THE PRESS: "FIBERS" WITHIN B5

There are at least three different components in the position-position-velocity space.



And potentially, many more...


Gaussian fitted C180 (2-1) peaks
with components found using FIVE algorithm (Hacar et al. 2013)




Compact & diffuse C180 (2-1) emission
Compact & diffuse NH3 (1, 1) emission
3D rendering using Python YT

courtesy of Hope Chen

COMPARING SCALES



Taurus (Hacar et al.)



B5 (Pineda et al.)